

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/717,519	MATTHEWS ET AL.
	Examiner Fiona T. Powers	Art Unit 1626

**All Participants:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Fiona T. Powers. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Melissa Hayworth. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 15 February 2006 **Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*NONE*

Claims discussed:

*13, 22 and 23*

Prior art documents discussed:

*NONE*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See *Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted Ms. Hayworth to suggest that specific diseases which are treated that are enabled by the specification be inserted into claims 13, 22 and 23 to avoid a rejection of the claims under 35 USC 112, first paragraph. Ms Hayworth stated that claims 13, 22 and 23 should be canceled by examiner's amendment..